

# MATH-512

Tahsin Gur

November 12, 2011

## Assignment #10

**1. Prove that the additive groups  $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  and  $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$  are isomorphic, and then show in contrast that the multiplicative groups  $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\#$  are not isomorphic.**

(i)

Claim: Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]$

where  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ ,  $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4] \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ .

Then,  $f$  is an isomorphism between  $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  and  $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ .

Proof: We need to show that  $f$  is 1-1, onto and operation preserving.

Let  $f(x_1), f(x_2) \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ . Then if  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ , we want to show that  $x_1 = x_2$ .

$$f(x_1) = f(x_2) \iff [a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1] = [a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2]$$

$$\text{where } a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

By the definition of external direct sums, we know that  $a_1 = a_2, b_1 = b_2, c_1 = c_2, d_1 = d_2$ . Hence  $x_1 = x_2$ .

Therefore,  $f$  is 1-1.

Then, we want to show that  $f$  is onto, i.e.,

$$\forall [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4] \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \quad \exists \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b_3 & b_4 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} : f\left(\begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b_3 & b_4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]$$

$$\text{where } a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3, a_4, b_4 \in \mathbb{R}$$

By the definition of the function  $f$  we can see that  $a_1 = b_1, a_2 = b_2, a_3 = b_3, a_4 = b_4$  which makes the function  $f$  defined everywhere.

Hence,  $f$  is onto.

At this stage, it suffices to show that  $f$  is operation preserving to show that it is an isomorphism between given sets.

We want to show that

$$f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b_3 & b_4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4] + [b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4]$$

$$\text{where } a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3, a_4, b_4 \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then, the LHS equals

$$f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b_3 & b_4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 + b_1 & a_2 + b_2 \\ a_3 + b_3 & a_4 + b_4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = [a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, a_3 + b_3, a_4 + b_4]$$

and, the RHS equals

$$[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4] + [b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4] = [a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, a_3 + b_3, a_4 + b_4]$$

One can see that  $LHS = RHS$ .

Hence,  $f$  is operation preserving.

Therefore,  $f$  is an isomorphism between  $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  and  $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ .

(ii)

On the other hand,  $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\#$  because by **Theorem 6.3** we know that  $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  is abelian if and only if  $\mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\#$  is abelian.

However, we know that the matrix multiplication is not necessarily abelian, for example consider

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And we also know that  $\mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\#$  is abelian since  $(\mathbb{R}^\#, \cdot)$  is abelian (See **Lemma 1** below), i.e.,

$$\forall x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}^\# \quad [x_1 y_1, x_2 y_2, x_3 y_3, x_4 y_4] = [y_1 x_1, y_2 x_2, y_3 x_3, y_4 x_4]$$

Therefore,  $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\# \oplus \mathbb{R}^\#$ .

### Lemma 1

Claim: Direct product of abelian groups is abelian.

Proof: Let  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  where both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are abelian. Then for any two elements  $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in G$ ,

$$\text{we have } (a_1, a_2) \cdot (b_1, b_2) = (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) = (b_1 a_1, b_2 a_2) = (b_1, b_2) \cdot (a_1, a_2).$$

Therefore,  $G$  is abelian.

**2. Show that the following statements are equivalent for  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  (where  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ).**

**(a) If  $H \leq \mathbb{Z}_n$ , then  $H = \{0\}$  or  $H = \mathbb{Z}_n$ .**

**(b)  $n$  is a prime number.**

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assuming if  $H \leq \mathbb{Z}_n$ , then  $H = \{0\}$  or  $H = \mathbb{Z}_n$ , we want to show that  $n$  is a prime number.

Since  $H \leq \mathbb{Z}_n$ , we can see that only two possibilities for  $|H|$  is 1 and  $n$ .

Then by **Lagrange's Theorem** we can see that  $|H| \mid |\mathbb{Z}_n|$ .

Then by the definition of prime numbers, the numbers which are divisible by only 1 and themselves, we can see that  $n$  should be a prime number.

Hence,  $n$  is a prime number.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assuming that  $n$  is a prime number, we want to show that if  $H \leq \mathbb{Z}_n$ , then  $H = \{0\}$  or  $H = \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

Since  $H \leq \mathbb{Z}_n$ , we know that  $0 < |H| \leq n$ .

Then we know by the **Lagrange's Theorem** that  $|H| \mid |\mathbb{Z}_n|$ .

Since  $n$  is a prime, then  $|H|$  is either 1 or  $n$ .

If  $|H| = n$ , then  $H = \mathbb{Z}_n$ . If  $|H| = 1$ , then  $H = \{e\} = \{0\}$ .

**3. As shown in class, if  $H < G$  with  $[G : H] = 2$ , then  $H \triangleleft G$ . Show that there is some  $H < S_3$  with  $[G : H] = 3$  such that  $H \not\triangleleft G$ .**

By the definition,  $[S_3 : H] = \frac{|S_3|}{|H|} = \frac{6}{|H|} = 3 \iff |H| = 2$ .

Then we know that  $H = \{\epsilon, (2, 3)\}$  or  $H = \{\epsilon, (1, 3)\}$  or  $H = \{\epsilon, (1, 2)\}$ .

For our purposes, let's use  $H = \{\epsilon, (1, 2)\}$ .

Assume to the contrary that  $H \triangleleft S_3$ . Then by definition,

$$\forall a \in S_3 \ aH = Ha, \text{ i.e., } aHa^{-1} \subseteq H$$

Then consider a counter-example:  $a = (2, 3)$  which contradicts the assumption that  $H \triangleleft S_3$ :

$$(2, 3)H(3, 2) = (2, 3)(1, 2)(3, 2) = (1, 3) \notin H$$

Therefore,  $H \not\triangleleft G$ .

**4. Let  $H = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} : a, b, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad \neq 0 \right\}$ .**

**(4a) Show that  $H < GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ .**

$\det(H) = ad - 0b = ad$  and we know that  $ad \neq 0$ , therefore  $\det(H) \neq 0$ .

Moreover,  $H \neq \emptyset$  since there exists at least one element  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \in H$ . Hence,  $H \subseteq G$ .

Then, we can use the 2-step test to show that  $H < GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

(i) We need to show that  $H$  is closed under matrix multiplication.

Let  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & d' \end{bmatrix} \in H$  where  $a, b, d, a', b', d' \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & d' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} aa' & ab' + bd' \\ 0 & dd' \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $a, b, d, a', b', d' \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can see that  $aa', ab' + bd', dd' \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $H$  is closed under matrix multiplication.

(ii) We need to show that if  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in H$ , then  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \in H$ .

Then,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{a} & -\frac{b}{ad} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{d} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $ad \neq 0$ , we know that  $a, d \neq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{d} \neq 0$ . Moreover,  $\frac{1}{a}, \frac{-b}{ad}, \frac{1}{d} \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Hence,  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \in H$ .

Therefore,  $H < GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  by the 2-step test.

**(4b) Determine whether  $H < GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ .**

First of all, we can clearly observe that  $H \neq GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  since there exists at least one element  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  which is not in  $H$ .

We want to show that,  $\forall A \in GL(2, \mathbb{R}) \quad AH = HA$ , i.e.,  $AHA^{-1} \subseteq H$  (by **Theorem 9.1**).

Then, let  $A := \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $B := \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & b_3 \end{bmatrix} \in H$ . Then,

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3} \begin{bmatrix} a_4 & -a_2 \\ -a_3 & a_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We want to check if  $ABA^{-1} \in H$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ABA^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & b_3 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3} \begin{bmatrix} a_4 & -a_2 \\ -a_3 & a_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 b_1 & a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_3 \\ a_3 b_1 & a_3 b_2 + a_4 b_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_4}{a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3} & \frac{-a_2}{a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3} \\ \frac{-a_3}{a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3} & \frac{a_1}{a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_1 b_1 a_4 - a_3 (a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_3)}{a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3} & \frac{-a_1 b_1 a_2 + a_1 (a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_3)}{a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3} \\ \frac{a_3 b_1 a_4 - a_3 (a_3 b_2 + a_4 b_3)}{a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3} & \frac{-a_2 a_3 b_1 + a_1 (a_3 b_2 + a_4 b_3)}{a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3} \end{bmatrix}$$

From this equation, one can observe that  $\frac{a_3 b_1 a_4 - a_3 (a_3 b_2 + a_4 b_3)}{a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3}$  term is not necessarily equal to zero.

For example, let  $a_3, b_1, a_4, b_2, a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2, b_3 = 2$ . Then  $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & b_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in H$ .

However,  $\frac{a_3 b_1 a_4 - a_3 (a_3 b_2 + a_4 b_3)}{a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3} = \frac{1-2}{1-2} = 1 \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $ABA^{-1} \notin H$ . Hence,  $H \not\triangleleft GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

**5. Find the order of  $[g] \in G/H$  in each of the following settings:**

**(5a)**  $G = \mathbb{Z}_{15}, H = \langle 5 \rangle$ , and  $g = 12$ .

$$\langle 5 \rangle = \{-10, -5, 0, 5, 10\}$$

Then,

$$12^2 + \langle 5 \rangle = 24 + \langle 5 \rangle = 9 + \langle 5 \rangle \pmod{15}$$

$$12^3 + \langle 5 \rangle = 21 + \langle 5 \rangle = 6 + \langle 5 \rangle \pmod{15}$$

$$12^4 + \langle 5 \rangle = 18 + \langle 5 \rangle = 3 + \langle 5 \rangle \pmod{15}$$

$$12^5 + \langle 5 \rangle = 15 + \langle 5 \rangle = \langle 5 \rangle \pmod{15}$$

Therefore,  $|g| = 5$ .

**(5b)**  $G = (\mathbb{Q}, +), H = \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $g = \frac{10}{7}$ .

$$g^2 = \frac{10}{7} + \frac{10}{7} = \frac{20}{7} \notin \mathbb{Z}$$

$$g^3 = \frac{30}{7} \notin \mathbb{Z}$$

$$g^4 = \frac{40}{7} \notin \mathbb{Z}$$

$$g^5 = \frac{50}{7} \notin \mathbb{Z}$$

$$g^6 = \frac{60}{7} \notin \mathbb{Z}$$

$$g^7 = \frac{70}{7} = 10 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Therefore,  $|g| = 7$

**(5c)**  $G = \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2, H = \langle [3, 1] \rangle$ , and  $g = [2, 3]$ .

$$\langle [3, 1] \rangle = \{[0, 0], [1, 1], [2, 0], [3, 1]\}$$

$$[2, 3]^2 = [0, 0]$$

Therefore,  $|g| = 2$

**6. Consider the elements  $A = [\frac{19-\sqrt{3}}{2}]$  and  $B = [\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{3}}]$  of the group  $G = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$ . Prove that  $A = B^{-1}$  in  $G$ .**

$$A = B^{-1} \iff AB = B^{-1}B = e$$

$$B = \frac{1}{1+\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{3}}{1-\sqrt{3}} \right) = \frac{-1+\sqrt{3}}{2}$$

$$AB = \frac{19-\sqrt{3}}{2} + \frac{-1+\sqrt{3}}{2} = \frac{18}{2} = 9 \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Since  $[e] = \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $[9] = [e]$  since  $e^{-1}9 = e9 = 9 \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Therefore  $A = B^{-1}$  in  $G$ .

**7. Prove that if  $G$  is cyclic and  $H \leq G$ , then  $G/H$  is cyclic. Then show that the converse does not hold, i.e., show that there is a non-cyclic group such that  $G/H$  is cyclic for some normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .**

Since  $G$  is cyclic, let  $a$  be a generator of  $G$ , i.e.,  $\langle a \rangle = G$ . Let  $G/H := \{gH : g \in G\}$ .

Since  $G = \langle a \rangle$ , we can write  $g$  as  $g = a^n$  where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We want to show that  $G/H = \langle aH \rangle$ .

Using a double containment argument, let  $x \in \langle aH \rangle$ , we want to show that  $x \in G/H$ .

Then,

$$x \in \langle aH \rangle \iff x = (aH)^m \iff x = a^m H$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

Then, we know that  $a^m \in G$  since  $a$  is a generator of  $G$ . Then by definition of  $G/H$ ,  $x \in G/H$ .

Now, let  $x \in G/H$ , we want to show that  $x \in \langle aH \rangle$ .

Then,

$$x \in G/H \iff x = gH = a^n H = (aH)^n$$

Therefore, we can see that  $(aH)^n = x \in \langle aH \rangle$ .

Hence, if  $G$  is cyclic and  $H \leq G$ , then  $G/H$  is cyclic.

On the other hand, consider an abelian non-cyclic  $G$ . We claim that  $Z(G)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

The center has been proven throughout the course to be a subgroup, so we only need to prove that it's a normal subgroup.

Let  $g \in G$  and  $z \in Z(G)$ . Then, by definition of  $Z(G)$ ,  $gzg^{-1} = zgg^{-1} = ze = z$  - which shows that  $gzg^{-1} \in Z(G)$ .

Therefore,  $Z(G)$  is a normal subgroup.

Then we want to show that  $G/Z(G)$  is cyclic.

We know that  $G$  is abelian, then  $Z(G) = G$ . Then we can clearly see that  $G/Z(G)$  is trivially cyclic.

Therefore, we can see that the converse does not hold, i.e., there is a non-cyclic group such that  $G/H$  is cyclic for some normal subgroup of  $H$  of  $G$ .

**8. Suppose  $G$  is an abelian group, and  $H$  is the collection of elements of  $G$  that have finite order. Recall from the extra-credit problem on Exam 1 that  $H \leq G$ . Show that  $G/H$  has no elements of finite order besides the identity element  $[e]$ .**

Let  $aH \in G/H$  where  $a \in G$ . If  $aH$  has finite order, then there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$a^n H = (aH)^n = H$$

Then,  $a^n \in H$ . We also knew that every element in  $H$  has finite order, hence,

$$\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : (a^n)^m = e \quad \text{where } |a^n| = m$$

Since  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, mn \geq 1$ . Then this shows that  $a$  has a finite order, therefore  $a \in H$  by definition of  $H$ .

Hence we can see that  $aH$  is the trivial coset  $H$  since  $a \in H$ .

Therefore, the only element of  $G/H$  with finite order is the identity element.